

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application are respectfully solicited.

Claims 46 through 61 are pending, with Claims 46 and 51 being independent. Claims 1 through 7, 9 through 16, 18 through 24, 26, and 38 through 45 have been cancelled without prejudice. Claims 46 through 61 have been added.

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for the courtesies extended in granting and conducting on June 20, 2006, a personal interview with Applicants' representatives. At the interview, Applicants' representatives and the Examiner discussed the outstanding rejections, as well as proposed amendments to the claims, and the claims have been amended as discussed at the interview.

In more detail, Claims 1 through 7, 9 through 16, 18 through 24, 26, and 38 through 45 again were variously rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over (a) U.S. Patent No. 6,577,347 B2 (Nishio et al.), (b) various paragraph of Applicants' own specification, (c) commonly-assigned U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0024678 A1 (Kawai '678), and U.S. Patent No. 5,070,404 (Bullock, et al.). The Official Action also made reference at page 4 et seq. to U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0169450 A1 (Kawai '450). All rejections are respectfully traversed.

Claims 46 and 51 variously recite, inter alia, extracting document data which is described in a markup language and included in contents data, the contents data having been sent multiplexed in broadcast by a broadcasting apparatus, the document data including (a) a script for acquiring status information of the printer and (b) status display information corresponding to the

acquired status information, wherein the generating reflects the status display information in the display image data.

However, as discussed at the interview, Applicants respectfully submit that none of Nishio et al., the relied-upon portions of the specification, Kawai '678, Bullock, et al., and Kawai '450, even in the proposed combinations, assuming, arguendo, that such could be combined, discloses or suggests at least the above-discussed claimed features as recited, inter alia, in Claims 46 and 51.

In more detail, the Official Action states that Nishio, et al. and Bullock, et al. are silent as to scripts as claimed, but asserts that scripts and markup language and displaying information related to a printer as claimed were well known. This assertion is respectfully traversed.

As discussed at the interview, Applicants respectfully submit that the relied-upon portion of Applicants' own specification explains, e.g., that broadcast data includes script information; however, this reference to script information is completely devoid of any mention of the above-discussed claimed features including the recitation of document data including both (a) a script for acquiring status information of the printer and (b) status display information corresponding to the acquired status information with generating as claimed. In the absence of a specific citation to a prior art document showing such features, any taking of Official Notice is respectfully traversed. MPEP 2144.03.

As also discussed at the interview, Applicants respectfully submit that, for example, Kawai '678 shows, e.g., displaying information regarding the external device; however, such disclosure is wholly deficient as to the above-discussed claimed features — as discussed at the

interview, there is simply no mention therein of the script and status display information as claimed.

It is further respectfully submitted that there has been no showing of any indication of motivation in the cited documents that would lead one having ordinary skill in the art to arrive at the above-discussed claimed features. Applicants respectfully submit that by means of such features, for example, the present invention can address a problem that when status information of a printer is simply displayed on a monitor, the status information can cover an image normally viewable by a viewer, which problem is caused by a lack of relation between a process for generating display image data that a viewer views and a process for displaying status information, whereas the present invention provides document data including status display information as claimed, whereby there is displayed information corresponding to the acquired status display information in the display image data, and whereby it is possible to prevent an unintended display status of status information from causing a trouble for display image data viewing.

The dependent claims are also submitted to be patentable because they set forth additional aspects of the present invention and are dependent from independent claims discussed above. Therefore, separate and individual consideration of each dependent claim is respectfully requested.

Applicants submit that this application is in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C. office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our address listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

/Daniel S. Glueck/
Daniel S. Glueck
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 37,838

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3800
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200
DSG@cmg

DC_MAIN 246192v1